UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,840	01/05/2006	Yoshinobu Morimoto	Q88695	2260
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 02/21/200 5 550	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW			MERCIER, MELISSA S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/540,840	MORIMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MELISSA S. MERCIER	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12, 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence are replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the confidence are replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the confidence are replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the confidence are replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the confidence are replacementally as a confidence are	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of formal 10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

Receipt of Applicants Remarks filed on August 13, 2007 is acknowledged.

Receipt of the Letter Requesting an Interview with the examiner is also acknowledged.

Applicants Representative was contacted, however, due to time constraints, it was determined an interview was not needed at this time. It was suggested that after receiving this office action, if applicant would like an interview to discuss the case to telephone the examiner at 571-272-9039 and request an interview.

Claims 1-12 and 14 remain pending in this application. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous Office Actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ancira et al. (US PGPub 2004/0137077).

Ancira discloses, a composition for the treatment of seborrheic keratosis comprising at least one melanin inhibitor. Examples of such melanin inhibitors include gamma-L-Cysteine and tranexamic acid" (paragraph 0034).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,840 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

Ancira also teaches, "to aid in moisturizing or conditioning the skin, as will be known to those of skill in the art in view of the instant disclosure. For example, other ingredients may be added to improve the skin condition or the effectiveness of the compositions. Vitamins may be added to the compositions to aid in improving the skin condition thereby inhibiting the production of subsequent cutaneous anomalies after treatment of the original condition" (Ancira, paragraph 0063). Ancira further discloses the vitamin may be L-ascorbic acid (0032). Ancira discloses methods of seborrheic keratosis removal; the compositions are also effective in removing other skin conditions such as hyper pigmentation" (paragraph 0062). It is the examiners position that the removal of hyper pigmentation is the same as whitening the skin and treating pigmentations.

With regard to Claims 2, 4, 6, and 8, according to MPEP 2144.05 II A Optimization within Prior Art Conditions or Through Routine Experimentation, differences in concentration will not support the patentability of subject matter encompasses by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentrations are critical. Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. "The normal desire of scientist or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where the disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages." (Peterson, 315 F.3d at 1330, 65 USPQ2d at 1382).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,840 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Ancira discloses tranexamic acid and L-cysteine among hundreds or thousands of possible combinations. The examiner respectively disagrees with Applicants assessment of the number of melanin inhibitors disclosed, a review of the prior art reference discloses approximately 40 inhibitors. Therefore, it is the position of the examiner that 40 would be considered a finite group of options and therefore, well within the skill and knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to choose 2 from the disclosed list. Furthermore, according to MPEP, it has been held that combinations of two or more compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition which is to be used for the very same purpose. In re Susi, 58 CCPA 1074, 1079-80, 440 F.2d 442, 445, 169 USPQ 423, 426 (1971); In re Crockett, 47 CCPA 1018, 1020-21, 279 F.2d 274, 276-77, 126 USPQ 186, 188 (1960). It is further noted that applicant has used the terminology comprising allowing the inclusion of any number of additional components. Applicant further argues the examiner has not provided motivation to combine the two melatonin inhibitors claimed. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Ancira discloses "at least one" melatonin inhibitors may be used. The fact that Ancira does not disclose the exact combination does not exclude the reference form teaching a combination from a finite grouping of components.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bundgaard et al. (US Patent 5,073,641).

Bundgaar discloses prodrugs of carboxylic acid agents which are capable of providing increased biomembrane transport so that the parent drugs are more bioavailable from the site of administration such as the gastro-intestinal tract, the rectum, the skin or the eye of the human body (column 3, lines 3-11). Bundgaar discloses the compounds disclosed include all diastereomers or enantiomers, or mixtures thereof (i.e. Examples of isomers are D-, L-, and DL- forms) (column 5, lines 16-18). Non-toxic pharmaceutically acceptable acid salts may be included such as ascorbic acid (column 5, lines 20-29). Suitable drugs to inclusion into the formulation include tranexamic acid (column 9, line 39) and L-cysteine (column 9, line 60). While the specific compounds are disclosed in a list of compounds suitable for use, it is the position of the examiner that the list constitutes a finite number of compounds and therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to select two of the disclosed bio-effecting carboxylic acid agents disclosed.

It is generally considered to be prime facie obvious to combine compounds each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a composition that is to be used for an identical purpose. The motivation for combining them flows from their having been used individually in the prior art, and from them being recognized in the prior art as useful for the same purpose. As shown by the recited teachings, instant claims are no more than the combination of conventional components of L-Cysteine or its derivatives thereof, all of which are known in the art to be used for

Art Unit: 1615

the same purpose. It therefore follows that the instant claims define prime facie obvious subject matter. Cf. <u>In re Kerhoven</u>, 626 F.2d 848, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Iwasaki et al. (US 6,703,031).

Due to the new grounds of rejection presented in this office action, this again is made Non-Final. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA S. MERCIER whose telephone number is (571)272-9039. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4pm Mon through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,840 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MSMercier

/Michael P Woodward/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615